But after looking at that graphic, I think all of us should take note. It was not only Attorney General Mukasey and National Intelligence Director McConnell who have talked about the degradation of our intelligence and the intelligence product. But it's also the chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee, a Democrat, JAY ROCKE-FELLER, who also talked about how our intelligence capacity has been degraded because of the failure to enact the Protect America Act. He said, and I quote, "What people have to understand around here," and that's the Senate, "is the quality of the intelligence we are going to be receiving is going to be degraded. It is going to be degraded. It is already going to be degraded as telecommunications companies lose interest."

He said three times, this capacity will be degraded. And I do want to applaud the gentleman from Texas for bringing up that e-mail that was cited in the 9/11 Commission report from the FBI agent who was so frustrated in August of 2001 about the failure of our law enforcement intelligence officers being able to collaborate effectively because of the wall that existed pre-PATRIOT Act. And he talked about that frustration. And he wanted to make sure those barriers were removed. And he also talked about how so many protections were being provided to Osama Bin Laden and al Qaeda at the expense of the security of the American people.

When we came to this Congress, the 110th Congress, when it first convened, we were told by the new leadership under Speaker Pelosi that fulfilling the recommendations of the 9/11 Commission report was a top priority. Well, it's time to equate those words with action. It's absolutely essential that we do so.

And many of our friends on the other side of the aisle, and this shouldn't be a partisan issue because we have bipartisan support for this bill. We have more than a two-thirds majority in the Senate, and there are over 20 members of the Democratic Caucus who have said that they're going to vote for this bill. It shouldn't be a partisan issue. We all know that.

And they've often talked about that we should be allowing our law enforcement officials to deal with these terrorists more effectively and that we shouldn't be using our military as much. That is what they say.

I have a letter here from the Fraternal Order of Police asking us to pass this law. We need to give law enforcement the tools they need to do their job. We can't simply say on the one hand we shouldn't be using the military but we should be using law enforcement, and then tie the hands of those very law enforcement officials we need to help us.

Mr. Speaker I will be happy to submit this letter for the RECORD so that people can see what the Pennsylvania Fraternal Order of Police police have said or, actually it's the National Fra-

ternal Order of Police, what they have said, why we need to enact the Protect America act.

GRAND LODGE, FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, $December\ 4,\ 2007.$

Hon. Harry Reid, Majority Leader, U.S. Senate, Washington. DC. Hon. MITCH McConnell, Minority Leader, U.S. Senate, Washington. DC.

DEAR SENATORS REID AND MCCONNELL: I am writing to you on behalf of the members of the Fraternal Order of Police to advise you of our position as the Senate prepares to consider legislation amending the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.

The FOP does support the inclusion of language that would adequately protect telecommunications companies which cooperated with the Federal government and law enforcement investigators from any liability as a result of that cooperation. It is important that such a provision strike the right balance between the need to investigate and gather intelligence about our nation's enemies—those actively plotting to attack and kill our fellow citizens-and the genuine expectation of privacy of the customers of these firms. It is important to emphasize that these records were voluntarily turned over because these companies were trying to assist the Federal government and law enforcement protect the United States and investigate terrorists, and we do not believe they should be punished for providing this assistance. In the view of the FOP, this is no different from a citizen helping to protect their streets by participating in a Neighborhood Watch program and reporting suspicious activity to the police.

The attacks on the United States in 2001 were a turning point in our nation's history and, like any turning point, it demands that we change and adapt without yielding our essential liberties or compromising our American values. One of these values is that of compromise, of working together to find common ground and solving problems. The defense of the United States against our terrorist enemies is not the sole province of any entity. If we are to be victorious in this struggle, we must work together. I am proud that law enforcement agencies at every level of government, Federal, State, and local, have changed the way they work so as to foster greater cooperation in the war on terror. I am pleased that our nation's corporate citizens worked with law enforcement and Federal investigators in the wake of September 11th. And now I implore our executive and legislative branch to put aside political considerations, to seek the common ground and to do the right thing those who acted in the best interests of their nation and its citizens.

Law enforcement officers must make decisions every day weighing the safety of the public against the individual's expectations of privacy—occasionally these decisions have to be made in seconds—because a law enforcement officer may not have the luxury of having months to deliberate the matter. It is time for all parties—the Administration, Congress and interest groups from both sides of this issue—to stop the hyperbole and work together to reach a solution that will protect those companies that came to the aid of their country in our war against terrorism.

I urge both of you, as leaders of your respective parties, to bring the compromise version of this legislation to the floor and work together to see it pass. I thank you in advance for your thoughtful consideration of the views of the more than 325,000 members of the Fraternal Order of Police. If I can be of any additional assistance on this or any

other matter, please do not hesitate to contact me or Executive Director Jim Pasco in my Washington office.

Sincerely,

CHUCK CANTERBURY,
National President.

Moreover, my own Attorney General from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Tom Corbett, visited me today. He's down here with the Attorneys General. He also talked about the need to enact the Protect America Act. And it is absolutely essential that we do so.

People are often frustrated by what they consider the mindless partisanship, the inability of people to get things done in Washington. That's why they're upset with Washington. They believe that Washington is broken. They're angry because Congress just fails to get commonsense legislation accomplished. And I think they want us to put the national interest ahead of special interests.

I think great points have been made here tonight about why we should pass this law, and I think we have to recognize what's holding this up. There are people in this body who are more interested in protecting the concerns of the most litigious among us in our society at the expense of the security of the American people. We all know a bipartisan accord has been reached on this FISA Act, on the Protect America Act. There really should be no more excuses. It's time to take yes for an answer. It's time to get the job done. I look forward to working with all of you to make sure we accomplish this before our intelligence is degraded further than it is today.

With that I would yield back to my friend from Texas.

Mr. McCAUL of Texas. I thank the gentleman for his comments. Reclaiming my time, the gentleman is absolutely correct. This is a bipartisan piece of legislation. The Senate passed it overwhelmingly in a bipartisan way. In fact, the Chairman of the Intelligence Committee, Senator Rockefeller, a Democrat, said this is the right way to go in terms of security of the Nation.

The gentlelady serves on the Intelligence Committee. We serve on the Homeland Security Committee, Mr. DENT and I. When you talk about the security of the Nation, you've got to leave your partisan politics and your special interests behind because protecting the American people deserves better than that. It doesn't deserve the partisan rhetoric.

Twenty-five attorneys general signed a letter, Democrat and Republican, please pass this act. So I do believe the time is now.

And the sad thing is, the most tragic thing is, we know good and well if this was brought to the floor today or tomorrow, that it would pass overwhelmingly. And yet the American people are denied that opportunity to vote on this bill, through their representatives, because special interests are holding this up.